> 6 7

> 8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

In re www.lawsociety.com
S.H., INC.

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE LAW SOCIETY, United Kingdom,

Defendant

STIPLII ATION AND ORDER EXTENDING

Case No. CV10-0248MJP

STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

WHEREAS, plaintiff filed this action on February 9, 2010 and sent defendant a request to waive service under Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and

WHEREAS, defendant returned the waiver of service on April 15, 2010, pursuant to which defendant's deadline to respond to the complaint was set for July 12, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the parties exchanged signature pages on a settlement agreement on July 9 but a dispute has arisen as to the form of the order to be submitted to the Court to effectuate the settlement agreement and the dismissal of the litigation; and

STIPULATION -CV10-0248MJP

Page 1 of 4

Leydig, Voit & Mayer 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3670 Seattle, Washington 98101 206-428-3100 1

2

WHEREAS, the parties are currently discussing the form of the final order for submission of the Court to effectuate the settlement and dismissal of this case; and

WHEREAS, if the parties are unable to reach agreement, defendant has indicated its intention to file a motion; and

WHEREAS, plaintiff has indicated that it would oppose any such motion; and

WHEREAS, the parties jointly request a one-week extension of today's deadline to allow them to attempt to resolve the remaining dispute between them and attempt to reach agreement on the proposed form of final order;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned counsel, that the time for defendant to respond to the Complaint in this proceeding shall be extended to and including July 19, 2010, by which time the parties either will submit a jointly proposed final or defendant shall file a motion to enforce the settlement agreement (which motion plaintiff may thereafter oppose).

Dated: Seattle, Washington July 12, 2010

IN PACTA Lawyers PLLC

By: _____/s/Noah Davis____ Noah Davis, WSBA No. 30939 801 2nd Avenue, Suite 307 Seattle, Washington 98104 Tel. (206) 709-8281 nd@inpacta.com

Attorneys for S.H. INC.

LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.

By: /s/Frances M. Jagla Frances M. Jagla, WSBA No. 38543

1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3670 Seattle, Washington 98101 Tel: (206) 428-3104 fjagla@leydig.com

STIPULATION -CV10-0248MJP

Page 2 of 4

Leydig, Voit & Mayer 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3670 Seattle, Washington 98101 206-428-3100

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

By: /s/ David H.Bernstein
David H. Bernstein
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Tel. (212) 909-6696
dhbernstein@debevoise.com

Attorneys for THE LAW SOCIETY

1 **ORDER** 2 3 Having reviewed the Parties' Proposed Order Extending Time to Respond to Complaint, The Court hereby GRANTS the Extension of Time. 4 5 IT IS SO ORDERED. 6 7 8 Dated this 13th day of July, 2010. 9 10 Marshy Melens 11 12 13 United States District Judge 14 15 Presented by: 16 DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON, LLP 17 /s/David H. Bernstein_ 18 David H. Bernstein (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) 919 Third Avenue 19 New York, New York 10022 20 Tel: (212) 919-6000 21 22 LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. 23 /s/Frances M. Jagla_ 24 Frances M. Jagla, WSBA No. 38543 25 1420 Fifth Avenue **Suite 3670** 26 Seattle, Washington 98101 27 Tel: (206) 428-3104